

Best Available Copy



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/284,199	8/2/94	Burrell et al.	1130261 CONT.

EXAMINER	
FOX	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1803	10

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Joseph T. Eisele (3) Dr. Michael M. Burrell
(2) Ken MacLean (4) David Fox

Date of interview 6/6/96

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: graphical + tabular data

To be presented in declaration form, proposed amendments

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed: Of record

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: App. rep presented data for 2 new enzymes (2 crops, potato + corn). Exmr indicated that data appeared to be sufficient to withdraw 112 1st of record but that declaration will have to be evaluated upon receipt. Proposed amendments appear to cure 112 2nd problems. Art rejection for claims appear to be overcome based upon ~~un~~ unexpected results of success and a change in starch (total) content in waxy maize situation - to be finally determined upon receipt of declaration. Amendment to cl 30 appears to overcome art - will have to be renewed for 112 issues.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

- Frequency of disclosure regarding genes for each enzyme, or ability of skilled in the art to obtain genes from literature citations or known techniques, newly raised by Exmr. Promised to consider declaration after final
- ☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.
- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and response requirements of the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner's Signature